Monte Carlo simulation on background noise for ERG/MEP-e





God does play dice?

- M-C simulation handles stochastic phenomena by using dices (random numbers)
- M-C method suits for simulations of particlematter interaction
 - because one doesn't need to solve countless numbers of equation of motion
- Interaction simulations are based on physics models derived theoretically as well as experimentally
 - Models provide probability distribution about interactions

For what?

Radiation belt dynamics





- The electron radiation belt is highly variable
- Energisation and loss occur simultaneously



Possible causes of the variability



- Variation of relativistic electrons would be governed by a combination of several processes
 - How significant is each process?
- ERG will provide clues for this issue
 - Medium-energy (tens of keV) electron measurement is one of the key observations

ERG/MEP-e



- Energy range : 8-80 keV
- FOV: 4π sr (in a half spin)
- Expected count rate: 10¹⁻⁴ cps/sector



Kasahara et al., 2009

Example of background (BG) noise



Cause of BG noise



- Electrons (>100 keV)
 - Secondary γ-rays (Bremsstrahlung)
 - Secondary electrons (ionisation)
- Protons (> 30 MeV)
 - Secondary electrons (ionisation)



(photoelectric effect)(Compton scattering)

Locations/timings

- Inner and Outer radiation belts
 electron (+ γ-rays), proton
- Substorm injections (around synchronous orb.)
 electron → γ-rays
- SEP events (even inside the M'sp)
 - proton

Countermeasures?



- Shielding
- Double Energy Analysis (x ~1/10)
- Thickness of shielding?

BG count rate should be quantified

BG estimation

- Input: energy spectrum J(E) and a sensor structure
- Output: Count rate C_R
- How can J(E) be converted to C_R ?



Method

Use Geant4

 Monte Carlo method







Used spectrum J(E)

- Electron: AE8max (CRRES)
- Proton: AP8max, GOES

Protons



Results and Discussions

- For Electrons
 - For Protons

Result e1: minimum equipment 200Noise rate / energy bin Primary e⁻ Тор Secondary e⁻ A(E)*J(E)*dEGamma 160 120 Middle 0 2 3 Electron incident energy <MeV> 80 E (MeV) 1.1 3.1 top (%) 0 0 40 0.4 0 3 middle 7 Bottom 46 44 Û. Mass: ~2.5 kg 80 40 12051 49 bottom 10⁵ cps/sector in total

- ~ 10⁴ cps/sector with DEA (cf. It should be <20 cps)
- The penetration round the bottom part is significant → bottom shield

Result e2: with bottom shielding



- ~200 cps/sector with DEA (cf. It should be <20 cps)

Result e3: with a thick bending plate



- ~100 cps/sector in total
 - ~10 cps/sector with DEA (cf. It should be <20 cps)
- Electron (and gamma) noise is sufficiently attenuated



Results and Discussions

- For Electrons
 - For Protons

Result p: no further shielding



- ~ 400 cps/sector with DEA
- Proton noise cannot be sufficiently attenuated
 - But the saturation can be avoided ightarrow possibly subtracted

Discussion: thicker shielding effective?



- Al 8 mm (default) → E_min~45 MeV
- Al 20 mm (Mass + 4 kg) → E_min~70 MeV
- Shielding does not help much

Discussion: frequency of SEP events

- Significant SEP contamination: < 3 events/yr
 ~ 20% of SEP events
- Intense SEP events are associated with CME
 - → Most CIR storms are not affected



Summary

- BG by electrons would be sufficiently attenuated for most events
 - Main phase data are promising
 - Some contamination are possible in recovery phases and quiet times depending on the MEP-HEP flux ratio
- BG by the inner RB protons cannot be avoided
 - But possibly subtracted with careful analysis
- BG by protons of SEP events can be attenuated for most events
 - even for CME-driven cases, expected occurrence of severe contamination is only ~20 %

The method developed here can be applied to other situations (cf., appendix)

Future work

- More detailed simulation with electronics
- Test of simulation results with beam experiments

Appendix: Energy spectrum at Ganymede

Electron background is most severe



Appendix: Flux at 10 Rj

We assume sharp cutoff at 30 MeV



Sorensen et al., 2005)

Appendix: Jupiter case



- Peak of the detected energy spectrum is at 35 keV
 - much lower count rates at < 35 keV
- For 1/16 azimuthal sector,
 - 20-30 cps for eV range and 50 cps for 20 keV incidence at Ganymede's orbit
 - 10-20 cps within the Ganymede's magnetosphere and in the outer magnetosphere



- ~100 cps in total
- 10 keV: 3 cps/keV x 5 keV =15 cps
- 30 keV: 2 cps/keV x 10 keV = 20 cps
- 80 keV: 0.5 cps/keV x 20 keV = 10 cps

Appendix: Proton noise



• Greater than the electron case by one order of magnitude

Previous study



• Simple analysis \rightarrow 10 mm (Al) required

Mass: > 3 kg (cf. 3.5 kg allocated to the sensor)

- Real structure simulations enable more quantitative/realistic discussion
- More detailed analysis would reduce shielding mass

ERG observation



- Relativistic electrons can be generated by whistler chorus
- Whistler chorus is likely to be generated by medium-energy electrons (1-100 keV)
- Key observation: 3D distribution function and energy density of medium-energy e-